



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,977	05/10/2001	Qunshan Gu	SDAC-P01-073	4936
22830 7590 10/16/2006		EXAMINER		
CARR & FERRELL LLP			RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
2200 GENG RC PALO ALTO, (•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
TALO ALTO, CA 74303			2614	
		DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/852,977	GU, QUNSHAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2001. This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-22 and 25-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the conseque	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-26-2002.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 09/852,977

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuhara et al (US PAT: 6,381,275, filed 12-4-1996, hereinafter Fukuhara) in view of Hirose (JP405064184A).

Regarding claim 23, Fukuhara discloses a system comprising: an encoder (fig. 1), an encoder configured to encode differential image data with reference to at least one of a short-term image or a long-term image, and to include in a video signal an indication of a type of reference image data that the differential image data is to be reconstructed with, the type being at least one of referring to a long term or short term image (fig. 8, col. 13 lines 5-9), a decoder (fig. 7), the decoder configured to decode the differential image data and the indication of the type of the differential image data (col. 27, line 1 – col. 28, line 6), and generate a reconstructed image based upon the differential image data and reference image data in a location specified by the type, (col. 8 lines 30-65; col. 12, line 40 – col. 13, line 13; claims 1 and 20).

Fukuhara differs from claim 23 in that he does not specifically teach video conferencing network that connects the encoder and the decoder in a communication relationship.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,977

Art Unit: 2614

However, Hirose discloses screen configuration system for video conference system which teaches the following: video conferencing network that connects the encoder (2, fig. 1) and the decoder (3, fig. 1) in a communication relationship (fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Fukuhara's system to provide for the following: video conferencing network that connects the encoder and the decoder in a communication relationship as this arrangement would facilitate video conferencing among users as taught by Hirose.

Regarding claim 24, Fukuhara further teaches the following: encoder and decoder are configured to communicate a type of the reconstructed image, the decoder storing the reconstructed in a buffer corresponding to the type (col. 12, line 40 – col. 13, line 9).

3. Claims 1-22, 25-28 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614 Page 4